

Journal

Office of Legislative Counsel

Monday - 23 March 1959

25X1A

25X1A 1. [redacted] who stated he had replaced [redacted]
former General Counsel of the National Security Agency, telephoned
Legislative Counsel. [redacted] was interested in our thoughts on
H. R. 1870 proposing to provide that all positions in Government are
sensitive, thus overcoming the Supreme Court case of Cole v. Young.
(A letter to the Post Office and Civil Service Committee has been
signed by the DCI requesting exemption for the Agency and is being
held for Bureau of the Budget clearance.) [redacted] seemed satisfied
with our assistance and it was suggested he might wish to visit with us
to discuss the matter in more detail.

25X1X

25X1A 2. Telephoned Mr. Sourwine, Senate Internal Security Sub-
committee, in response to his query concerning [redacted]
[redacted] The matter had been checked with [redacted]
(EE) and Sourwine was advised that [redacted]

25X1A

25X1C

[redacted] The Agency offered to secure answers to written
questions if Mr. Sourwine desired. Under the circumstances, Mr.
Sourwine said he would rely on our judgment and no further action will
be taken.

25X1A

25X1A 3. Attempted to see Representative Richard H. Poff (Va.) in
connection with [redacted] case. In his absence talked
with his assistant, Mr. Bradford and explained to him that [redacted]
did not meet the criteria for employment by the Agency according to
the investigation which we had conducted. Mr. Bradford said he was
grateful and he was sure Mr. Poff would appreciate the information.

STATOTHR

25X1A 4. [redacted] stated the Director had met with [redacted]
and apparently there was some discussion of the Freedom Commission
Act bills by Herlong and Judd. [redacted] requested that copies of
these bills be sent to the DCI.

25X1A